



'GOLDEN RICE' – An Exercise in How Not to Do Science

by Mae-Wan Ho

**'GOLDEN RICE' —
An Exercise in How Not to Do Science**

Mae-Wan Ho

TWN
Third World Network
Penang, Malaysia

'GOLDEN RICE' — An Exercise in How Not to Do Science
is published by
Third World Network
131 Jalan Macalister
10400 Penang, Malaysia.

copyright © Third World Network 2002

Printed by Jutaprint
2 Solok Sungei Pinang 3, Sg. Pinang
11600 Penang, Malaysia.

ISBN: 983-9747-81-9

Contents

Executive Summary

Chapter 1.	A gift-horse for the poor	1
	<i>Fallacious scientific/social rationale</i>	2
Chapter 2.	The science and technology is standard first generation	8
	<i>The “gene expression” – a unit of transgenic construct</i>	9
Chapter 3.	Uncontrollable technology and unpredictable outcomes raise questions on safety	13
Chapter 4.	‘Golden Rice’ is no technical improvement and more unsafe	11
Conclusion		18

Executive Summary

'Golden Rice' — a genetically modified (GM) rice engineered to produce pro-vitamin A — is a project which is being offered as cure for widespread vitamin A deficiency in the Third World.

This report has uncovered fundamental deficiencies in all aspects, from the scientific/social rationale to the science and technology involved, in a project that is being promoted to salvage the morally and financially bankrupt agricultural biotechnology industry.

The scientific/social rationalization for the project exposes a reductionist self-serving scientific paradigm that fails to see the world beyond its own narrow confines.

'Golden Rice' is a useless application.

Some 70 patents have already been filed on the GM genes and constructs used in the making of 'Golden Rice'. It is a drain on public resources and a major obstruction to the implementation of sustainable agriculture that can provide the real solutions to world hunger and malnutrition.

'Golden Rice' is not a 'second generation' GM crop as has been claimed. It involves standard first generation technology, and carries some of the worst features in terms of hazards to health and biodiversity.

The project should be abandoned altogether.

Key Words: 'Golden Rice', vitamin A deficiency, Green Revolution, sustainable science, GM technology, gene patents, GM constructs.

(Acknowledgement: ISIS is grateful to Joe Cummins for helpful comments and for supplying key references in preparing this report.)

Chapter 1

A gift-horse for the poor

A report in *Financial Times*¹ states that the creators of 'Golden Rice' have struck 'a ground-breaking deal' with corporate giant Astra-Zeneca to give Third World farmers free access to the grain while allowing it to be commercially exploited in the developed world.

The company will oversee the production of stable GM line(s) and patenting, and take the lines through field trials and commercial approval. While farmers in developed countries will have to pay royalties, those in the Third World earning less than US\$10,000 will not. But will Third World farmers be allowed to save the seeds for replanting? It did not say.

This 'Golden Rice', though is not available yet, is already worth its weight in diamonds. The project was funded from four sources of public finance totaling US\$100 million: the philanthropic Rockefeller Foundation, whose mission is to support scientific research that specifically benefit the poor, the Swiss Federal Institute of Technology, the European Community Biotech Program and the Swiss Federal Office for Education and Science.

The announcement failed to mention that there are already 70 patent claims on the genes, DNA sequences, and gene constructs used to make 'Golden Rice'.² Will the cost of paying royalties for the previous 70 patent claims be added to the cost of 'Golden Rice'?

¹ Financial Times (London) May 16, 2000.

² Revealed by authoritative sources within the Rockefeller Foundation.

Which of the royalties on the seventy-odd patents would Third World farmers be absolved from paying?

Fallacious scientific/social rationale

Many have commented on the absurdity of offering 'Golden Rice' as the cure for vitamin A deficiency when there are many alternatives, infinitely cheaper sources of vitamin A or pro-vitamin A, such as green vegetables and unpolished rice, that are also rich in other essential vitamins and minerals.³

To offer the poor and malnourished a high-tech 'Golden Rice' that is tied up in multiple patents, requiring US\$100 million to produce and perhaps costing as much to develop, is worse than telling them to eat cake.

'Golden Rice' is engineered to produce pro-vitamin A or β -carotene (the orange coloured substance found in carrots) in the *endosperm*, that is, the part of the rice grain that remains after it has been polished.⁴

The scientific paper on the 'Golden Rice' project starts with a review of the literature to rationalize why such GM rice is needed and of benefit to the Third World. The paper is accompanied by an unusually long news feature in the *Science* magazine entitled '*The Green Revolution Strikes Gold*',⁵ which reinforced the rationalization for the project, explaining the remarkable feat of technology involved and stated that the scientists intend to make 'Golden Rice' "freely available to the farmers who need it most".

³ Koechlin, F. (2000) The 'Golden Rice' — a big illusion? *Third World Resurgence* #114/115, 33-35.

⁴ Ye, X., Al-Babili, S., Klöti, A., Zhang, J., Lucca, P., Beyer, P. and Potrykus, I. (2000). Engineering the pro-vitamin A (β -carotene) biosynthetic pathway into (carotenoid-free) rice endosperm. *Science* 287, 303-305.

⁵ Guerinot, M.L. (2000). The Green Revolution strikes gold. *Science* 287, 241-243.

The last sentence in this glowing report, however, gave the game away: "One can only hope that this application of plant genetic engineering to ameliorate human misery without regard to short-term profit will restore this technology to political acceptability."

What were the reasons for the scientists to embark on the project? It is important to know, as these reasons may have been used to persuade funders to support the project in the first place, and funders ought to bear as much of the responsibility.

The first reason given is that the aleurone layer (in unpolished rice) is usually removed by milling as it turns rancid while in storage, especially in tropical areas; and the remaining endosperm lacks pro-vitamin A. The researchers have tacitly admitted that at least some varieties of unpolished rice will have pro-vitamin A.

The reason rice is milled is to prolong storage for export, and to suit the tastes of the developed world. So why not give the poor access to unpolished rice? A proportion of every rice harvest could be kept unpolished and either given freely to the poor, or sold at the cheapest prices. But the scientists have not considered that possibility.

Unpolished rice is in fact part of the traditional Asian diet until the Green Revolution when aggressive marketing of white polished rice created a stigma for unpolished rice. However, most rural communities still consume unpolished rice and now that consumers have become aware of its nutritional value, unpolished rice is becoming much sought after.

"Predominant rice consumption", the researchers claim, promotes vitamin A deficiency, a serious health problem in at least 26 countries, including highly populated areas of Asia, Africa and Latin America. Some 124 million children worldwide are estimated to be vitamin A deficient. (Actually, the figures quoted in a press re-

lease from the International Rice Research Institute are 250 million preschool children.⁶)

The scientists seem to be unaware that people do not eat plain rice if given the choice. The poor do not get enough to eat and are undernourished as well as malnourished.

The Food and Agricultural Organization (FAO) started a project in 1985 to deal with vitamin A deficiency using a combination of food fortification, food supplements and general improvements in diets by encouraging people to grow and eat a variety of green leafy vegetables. One main discovery is that the absorption of pro-vitamin A depends on the overall nutritional status, which in turn depends on the diversity of the food consumed.⁷

“Predominant rice consumption” is most likely to be accompanied by other dietary deficiencies. A study by the Global Environmental Change Programme⁸ concludes that predominant consumption of Green Revolution crops is responsible for iron deficiency in an estimated 1.5 billion people, or a quarter of the world’s population. The worst affected areas are in rice-growing regions in Asia and South-East Asia where the Green Revolution had been most successful in increasing crop yield.

Research institutions such as IRRI have played the key role in introducing Green Revolution crops to the Third World. IRRI was founded in 1959 under an agreement forged by the Rockefeller and Ford Foundations with the Philippine government, and its lease for operation expires in 2003.

At its recent 40th anniversary celebration, hundreds of Filipino rice farmers protested against IRRI for introducing GM crops, blaming

⁶ IRRI press release 22 May, “Human health and food that feeds half the world”.

⁷ See Koechlin, 2000 (note 3).

⁸ Geoffrey Lean, Independent, April 23, 2000.

IRRI, among other things, for promoting the Green Revolution and causing massive loss of biological diversity in rice paddies throughout Asia.⁹

It is clear that vitamin A deficiency is accompanied by deficiencies in iron, iodine and a host of micronutrients, all of which comes from the substitution of a traditionally varied diet with one based on monoculture crops of the Green Revolution.

The real cure is to re-introduce agricultural biodiversity in the many forms of sustainable agriculture already being practiced successfully by tens of millions of farmers all over the world.¹⁰

As the scientists know, clinical deficiency can be dealt with by prescription of vitamin A pills, which are affordable and immediately available. "Oral delivery of vitamin A is problematic", they state.

Judging from the reference cited¹¹ they might be referring to the well-known harmful effects of vitamin A overdose. But why would high levels of pro-vitamin A rice in a staple food that people generally consume in the largest amounts in a meal not also cause problems connected with overdose? In particular, vitamin A poisoning has been known to result from excessive β -carotene intake in food.¹²

⁹ Press release 4 April, Los Banos, Philippines, MASIPAG/Farmer-Scientist Partnership for Development.

¹⁰ See Altieri, M., Rosset, P. and Trupp, L.A. (1998). *The Potential of Agroecology to Combat Hunger in the Developing World*, Institute for Food and Development Policy Report, Oakland, California.

¹¹ Walter, P., Brubacher, G., and Stahelin, H. eds. (1989). *Elevated Dosages of Vitamins: Benefits and Hazards*, Hans Huber, Toronto, Canada

¹² Nagai, K., Hosaka, H., Kubo, S., Nakabayashi, T., Amagasaki, Y. and Nakamura, N. (1999). Vitamin A toxicity secondary to excessive intake of yellow-green vegetables, liver and laver. *J. Hepatol* 31, 142-148.

Other evidences have emerged that genetically modified 'Golden Rice' containing pro-vitamin A will not solve the problem of malnutrition in developing countries.^{13, 14}

Greenpeace calculated, based on the product developers' own figures, that an adult would have to eat at least 12 times the normal intake of 300 g of rice to get the daily recommended amount of pro-vitamin A.

An adult would have to eat at least 3.7 kg dry weight of rice, i.e. around 9 kg of cooked rice, to satisfy their daily need of vitamin A from 'Golden Rice'. In other words, a normal daily intake of 300 g of rice would, at best, provide 8% percent of the vitamin A needed daily. A breast-feeding woman would have to eat at least 6.3 kg in dry weight, converting to nearly 18 kg of cooked rice per day!

In addition, nutrition science suggests that 'Golden Rice' alone will not greatly diminish vitamin A deficiency and associated blindness. The uptake and absorption of pro-vitamin A depends on many factors, including adequate intake of proteins, vitamin E, zinc, and fats. Pro-vitamin A has to be built up to vitamin A in the body and this process only works in the presence of fat or oil. But poor people's diets often lack fat and other key nutrients, so pro-vitamin A from 'Golden Rice' could be excreted undigested by many.

Finally, why is it necessary to genetic engineer rice? "Because no rice cultivars produce [pro-vitamin A] in the endosperm, recombinant technologies rather than conventional breeding are required." This is the conclusion to the whole fallacious reasoning process. It amounts to this: rice is polished, which removes pro-

¹³ "Funder admits pro-GM public relations campaign has 'gone too far'", 15 October 2001, Greenpeace,

<http://www.greenpeace.org.uk/contentlookup.cfm?CFID=65107&CFTOKEN=2355340&ucidparam=20010212163231>

¹⁴ 'The myth of Golden Rice debunked', Greenpeace, <http://www.greenpeace.org.uk/MultimediaFiles/Live/FullReport/3161.pdf>

vitamin A, therefore a hundred million dollars (most of which is tax-payers' money) are needed to put pro-vitamin A into polished rice.

A more likely explanation is that the geneticists are looking for funding to do their research, and have constructed, as best they could, a series of rationalizations for why they should be supported.

Neither the scientists nor the funders have looked further beyond the technology to people's needs and aspirations, or to what the real solutions are.

Chapter 2

The science and technology is standard first generation

It took ten years to engineer β -carotene into polished rice because rice naturally does not have the metabolic pathway to make it in the endosperm, perhaps for good biological reasons. Immature rice endosperm makes the early precursor, geranylgeranyl-diphosphate (GGPP).

In order to turn GGPP into β -carotene, four metabolic reactions are needed, and each catalyzed by a different enzyme. Enzyme 1, phytoene synthase converts GGPP to phytoene, which is colourless. Enzymes 2 and 3, phytoene desaturase and β -carotene desaturase, each catalyzes the introduction of two double-bonds into the phytoene molecule to make lycopene, which is red in colour. Finally, Enzyme 4, lycopene β -cyclase turns lycopene into β -carotene.

Hereafter, the enzymes will be referred to by numbers only. Thus, a total of four enzymes have to be engineered into the rice in such a way that the enzymes are expressed in the endosperm. Some very complicated artificial gene constructs have to be made. The gene constructs are made in units called 'expression cassettes' (see Box 1).

In order to select for the plant cells that have taken up the foreign genes and gene-constructs, 'Golden Rice' makes use of a standard antibiotic resistance gene coding for hygromycin resistance, also equipped with its own promoter and terminator. All these expres-

sion cassettes have to be introduced into the rice plant cells. One simplification available is that the reactions catalyzed by two of the enzymes, 2 and 3, could be done by a single bacterial enzyme – let’s call it enzyme 2-3 – so a total of four expression cassettes have to be introduced, one for each of three enzymes and the fourth for the antibiotic resistance marker.

Box 1: The ‘gene expression’ - a unit of transgenic construct

The gene for each enzyme never goes into a cell alone. It has to be accompanied by a special piece of genetic material (DNA), the *promoter*, which signals the cell to turn the gene on, i.e., to transcribe the DNA gene sequence into RNA. At the end of the gene, there has to be another signal, a *terminator*, to mark the RNA so that it can be translated into protein. To target the protein to the endosperm, an extra bit of DNA, a *transit sequence*, is required. The resulting expression cassette for each gene is as follows:



Typically, each bit of the construct: promoter, transit sequence, gene and terminator is from a different source. Several expression cassettes are usually linked in series, or ‘stacked’ in the final construct.

Unlike natural genetic material which consists of stable combinations of genes that have co-existed for billions of years, artificial constructs consist of combinations that have never existed, in billions of years of evolution. Artificial gene-constructs are well-known to be structurally unstable, which means they tend to break and join up incorrectly, and with other bits of genetic material, resulting in new unpredictable combinations.

This process of breaking and joining of genetic material is referred to as ‘recombination’. The more complicated the construct, the more it tends to break and rearrange or form new combinations. The

instability of the construct means that it is seldom inserted into the plant genome in its intended form. The inserts are generally rearranged, with parts deleted, or repeated.

In order to make many copies of the construct and to facilitate entry into plant cells, the construct is spliced into an artificial vector, which is generally made from genetic parasites that live inside cells. The artificial vector also enables the construct to be efficiently smuggled into the plant cell and to jump into the genome of the plant cell.

The vector used in the case of the 'Golden Rice' is the one most widely used since the beginning of plant genetic engineering. It is derived from the '*T-DNA*', part of the tumor-inducing (*Ti*) plasmid (a genetic parasite) of the soil bacterium, *Agrobacterium*. The *Ti* plasmid naturally invades plant cells, inserting the *T-DNA* into the plant cell genome, and causing the cell to develop into a plant tumor or gall. The artificial gene construct is spliced in between the left and right borders of the *T-DNA* vector.

The borders of the *T-DNA* are 'hotspots' for recombination, i.e., they have a pronounced tendency to break and join up, which is ultimately why the vector can invade the plant's genome and carry its hitch-hiker gene construct along with it.

Three different constructs were made. The first consists of the expression cassettes of enzyme 1 from daffodils and enzyme 2-3 from the plant bacterial pathogen, *Erwinia uredovora*, together with the expression cassette of an antibiotic resistance marker gene that codes for hygromycin resistance. Another antibiotic resistance gene (coding for kanamycin resistance) is also present, albeit lacking a promoter.

Hygromycin and kanamycin are both aminoglycoside antibiotics that inhibit protein synthesis. The resistance genes originate from bacteria and generally have specificities for more than one

aminoglycoside antibiotic. This first construct is the most complicated, but it still does not have all the required enzymes. Enzyme 1 and the hygromycin resistance gene are both equipped with a promoter from the cauliflower mosaic virus (CaMV), which is especially hazardous (see below).¹⁵

The second construct consists of the expression cassettes of enzyme 1 and enzyme 2-3 as in the first, but without any antibiotic resistance marker genes. The third construct consists of the expression cassette of enzyme 4, again from daffodil, stacked with the hygromycin-resistance marker-gene cassette. The strategy of separating the genes for the enzymes and antibiotic resistance marker into two different constructs is that it overcomes some of the problems of structural instability: the more cassettes stacked, the more unstable is the construct.

Each construct was spliced into a *T*-DNA vector, and two transformation experiments were carried out. In the first experiment, 800 immature rice embryos were inoculated with the vector containing the first construct, and hygromycin was used to select for resistant plants that have taken up the vector, resulting in 50 GM plants. In the second experiment, 500 immature embryos were inoculated with a mixture of the vectors containing the second and third construct respectively. Selection with hygromycin gave rise to 60 GM plants that have taken up the third construct, but only twelve of these had taken up the second construct as well.

The transformation process is well-known to be random, as there is no way to target the foreign genes to precise locations in the genome. There could be more than one site of insertion in a single

¹⁵ See Ho, M.W., Ryan, A. and Cummins, J. (1999). The cauliflower mosaic viral promoter - a recipe for disaster? *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* 11, 194-197; Ho, M.W., Ryan, A. and Cummins, J. (2000a). Hazards of transgenic plants containing the cauliflower mosaic viral promoter. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* (12, 6-11).

cell. Furthermore, as mentioned earlier, the actual inserts are likely to be rearranged, or subject to deletions or repetitions.¹⁶ Hence, each transformed cell will have its own distinctive pattern of insert(s), and each GM plant, which comes from the single transformed cell, will differ from all the rest.

Note that the GM plants from the first experiment will not have the full complement of enzymes required to make β -carotene, and should give red endosperm from the lycopene present. Only the GM plants from the second experiment, which have taken up both vectors, would possess all the enzymes needed, and give orange-colored endosperm.

¹⁶ Reviewed by Pawlowski, W.P. and Somers, D.A. (1996). Transgene inheritance in plants genetically engineered by microprojectile bombardment. *Molecular Biotechnology* 6, 17-30.

Chapter 3

Uncontrollable technology and unpredictable outcomes raise questions on safety

Unexpectedly, transgenic plants from both transformation experiments gave orange polished grains. Chemical analyses confirmed that only β -carotene, in varying amounts, was found in all lines, but no lycopene. This suggests that enzyme 4 may be present in rice endosperm normally, or it could be induced by lycopene, to turn all of the lycopene into β -carotene. Lutein and zeaxanthin, two other products derived from lycopene, were also identified in varying amounts besides β -carotene. All of these were absent from non-GM rice.

In addition, many other uncharacterized, unidentified products were found, which differ from one line to another. What is the nutritional value of the other products? Are any of the known and unknown products harmful? Without thorough chemical analyses and toxicity tests, it is impossible to tell. This highlights the unpredictable, uncontrollable nature of the technology.

Molecular analyses of the GM inserts were not done in any detail. Nevertheless, judging from the evidence presented, there are the usual signs of deletions, rearrangements and multiple repeats of the constructs inserted due to structural instability of the constructs and the tendency for recombination. There is no guarantee that any of the plants will give stable progeny in successive generations.

The instability of GM lines is well-known,¹⁷ and is a continuing problem for the industry. Inserted genes can lose their activities or become lost altogether in subsequent generations. There is nothing in 'Golden Rice' to distinguish it from standard first generation GM plants with all the well-known defects and hazards.

¹⁷ Reviewed by Pawlowski and Somers, 1996 (see note 16) and others.

Chapter 4

'Golden Rice' is no technical improvement and more unsafe

'Golden Rice' exhibits all the undesirable, hazardous characteristics of existing GM plants, and in added measure on account of the increased complexity of the constructs and the sources of genetic material used.¹⁸

The hazards are highlighted below.

- It is made with a combination of genes and genetic material from viruses and bacteria, associated with diseases in plants, and from other non-food species.
- The gene constructs are new, and have never existed in billions of years of evolution.
- Unpredictable by-products have been generated due to random gene insertion and functional interaction with host genes, which will differ from one plant to another.
- Over-expression of transgenes linked to viral promoters, such as that from CaMV, exacerbates unintended metabolic effects as well as instability (see below). There are at least two CaMV promoters in each transgenic plant of the 'Golden Rice', one of which is linked to the antibiotic resistance marker gene.

¹⁸ See Ho, M.W. (1998,1999). Genetic Engineering Dream or Nightmare? Third World Network, Gateway, Gill & Macmillan, Penang and Dublin; Ho *et al*, 1999, 2000a (note 15).

- The transgenic DNA is structurally unstable, leading to instability of the GM plants in subsequent generations, multiplying unintended, random effects.
- Structural instability of transgenic DNA increases the likelihood of horizontal gene transfer and recombination.
- Instability of transgenic DNA is enhanced by the CaMV promoter, which has a recombination hotspot,¹⁹ thereby further increasing the potential for horizontal gene transfer.
- The CaMV promoter is promiscuous in function and works efficiently in all plants, in green algae, yeast and *E. coli*.²⁰ It is also active in animals, including human cells.²¹ The spread of genes linked to this promoter by ordinary cross-pollination or by horizontal gene transfer will have enormous impacts on health and biodiversity. In particular, the hygromycin resistance gene linked to it may be able to function in bacteria associated with infectious diseases.
- Horizontal transfer of transgenic DNA from GM plants into soil fungi and bacteria has been demonstrated in laboratory experiments. Recent evidence suggests that it has also taken place in a field-trial site for GM sugar-beets, in which transgenic DNA persisted in the soil for at least two years afterwards.²²
- Prof. Hans-Hinrich Kaatz from the University of Jena has just presented new evidence of horizontal gene transfer within the gut of bee

¹⁹ Kohli A, Griffiths S, Palacios N, Twyman RM, Vain P, Laurie DA, Christou P. (1999). Molecular characterization of transforming plasmid rearrangements in transgenic rice reveals a recombination hotspot in the CaMV 35S promoter and confirms the predominance of microhomology mediated recombination. *The Plant Journal* 17: 591-601.

²⁰ See Kohli, et al, 1999 (note 19) also, Ho *et al*, 1999; 2000 (note 15).

²¹ Ho, M.W., Ryan A. and Cummins J. (2000b). CaMV 35S promoter fragmentation hotspot confirmed and is active in animals. *Microbial Ecology in Health and Disease* 12, 189.

²² Gebhard, F. and Smalla, K. (1999). Monitoring field releases of genetically modified sugar beets for persistence of transgenic plant DNA and horizontal gene transfer. *FEMS Microbiology Ecology* 28, 261-272.

larvae.²³ Pollen from GM rapeseed tolerant to the herbicide glufosinate was fed to immature bee larvae. When the microorganisms were isolated from the gut of the larvae and examined for the presence of the gene conferring glufosinate resistance, it was found in some of the bacteria as well as yeast cells.

- All cells including those of human beings are now known to take up genetic material.²⁴ While natural (unmanipulated) genetic material is simply broken down to supply energy, invasive pieces of genetic material may jump into the genome to mutate genes. Some insertions of foreign genetic material may also be associated with cancer.
- Horizontal transfer of genes and constructs from the 'Golden Rice' will spread transgenes, including antibiotic resistance genes to bacterial pathogens, and also has the potential to create new viruses and bacteria associated with diseases.²⁵

²³ See Barnett, A. (2000). GM genes 'jump species barrier'. The Observer, May 28.

²⁴ Ho, M.W., Ryan, A., Cummins, J. and Traavik, T. (2001). *Slipping Through the Regulatory Net: 'Naked' and 'Free' Nucleic Acids*. TWN Biotechnology & Biosafety Series 5, Third World Network, Penang.

²⁵ Ho, M.W. (2001). *Horizontal Gene Transfer: Hidden Hazards of Genetic Engineering*. TWN Biotechnology & Biosafety Series 4, Third World Network, Penang.

Conclusion

In conclusion, the 'Golden Rice' project was a useless application, a drain on public finance and a threat to health and biodiversity.

It is being promoted in order to salvage a morally as well as financially bankrupt agricultural biotech industry, and is obstructing the essential shift to sustainable agriculture that can truly improve the health and nutrition especially of the poor in the Third World.

This project should be terminated immediately before further damage is done.

'Golden Rice' possesses all the usual defects of first generation transgenic plants plus multiple copies of the CaMV promoter which we have strongly recommended withdrawing from use on the basis of scientific evidence indicating this promoter to be especially unsafe.²⁶

A total of 463 scientists from 56 countries are calling for a global moratorium on the environmental releases of GMOs until and unless they can be shown to be safe.²⁷

²⁶ Ho et al, 1999, 2000a,b (notes 15,25).

²⁷ See <http://www.i-sis.org.uk>

‘Golden Rice’ – a genetically modified rice engineered to produce pro-vitamin A – is being offered as cure for widespread deficiency of vitamin A in the Third World.

However, a report by the Institute of Science in Society (ISIS) has revealed fundamental deficiencies in all aspects of the ‘Golden Rice’ project, from the scientific/social rationale to the science and technology involved.

‘Golden Rice’ is not a ‘second generation’ GM crop as has been claimed. It involves standard first generation technology and carries some of the worst features in terms of hazards to health and biodiversity.

The author, the director of ISIS, argues it is absurd to offer ‘Golden Rice’ as the answer to cure vitamin A deficiency when there are many cheaper alternative sources of vitamin A or pro-vitamin A, such as green vegetables and unpolished rice, that are rich in other essential vitamins and minerals

Dr Mae-Wan Ho is the co-founder and director of the Institute of Science in Society, a non-profit organization that promotes critical public understanding of issues in science and technology, especially with regards to social accountability, ethical implications and sustainability. Since 1994, she has been scientific advisor to the Third World Network. Dr Ho is also well known in the debate on genetic engineering and biosafety, and has raised the issue at the UN, the World Bank and in the European Parliament, in her writings (over 250 works), lectures, and contributions to the media around the world.

BIOTECHNOLOGY & BIOSAFETY SERIES

is a series of papers published by the Third World Network. It is aimed at deepening public understanding of ecological and safety aspects of new biotechnologies, especially genetic engineering.